

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/447,256	11/23/1999	NOBUYOSHI NAKAJIMA	2091-0205P	3582	
75	590 07/31/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			LAROSE, COLIN M		
THEE CHOICE	CII, 111 220 100 / 1/				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	10	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/447,256	NAKAJIMA, NOBUYOSHI				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Colin M. LaRose	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	<b>S</b> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		•				
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
<del></del>						
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				

Continuation of 5. Kado is relied upon for the teaching of using a pattern-matching process to calculate a displacement from a normalized value for the purposes of normalizing the face. Column 3, lines 46-51: a normalized face pattern is matched with the input face pattern to detect the displacement, which is used to normalize the input face pattern. Kado's invention may differ from that of Kobori, however, only the above teaching of Kado is utilized to cure the deficiencies of Kobori, namely using pattern-matching rather than trial and error for the purposes of normalizing an image of a face, as claimed.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600